

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

01

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,159	01/24/2004	Martin Vorbach	02885/77	8160
75	90 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			ELMORE, STEPHEN C	
One Broadway New York, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2186	
		DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-14
	10/764,159	VORBACH ET AL.	- 1
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Stephen Elmore	2186	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIS SET TO EXPIDE 2 MONTH	(S) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions are provided to the period of the pe	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communicat ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	anuary 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed:			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	. •
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No. <u>09/623052</u> .	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	, ,,		**
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.	
	ι		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/24/2004</u> .	6) Other:	attent approximent (1.10-102)	

Application/Control Number: 10/764,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

1. Preliminary amendment filed January 24, 2004 referred-to and erroneously canceled claims 1-12, because, the originally filed patent application actually only contained claims 1-11, there is no claim 12 filed in the original patent application which could be canceled, therefore, under authority of 37 CFR Rule 1.26 claims 1-12 have been renumbered by clerical staff in the amendment instructions to cancel claims 1-11; newly presented claim 13 has also been renumbered under this Rule as claim 12, to correct the record of accurate numbering of the claims in the patent application to overcome this inadvertent typographical error.

- 2. Therefore, according to the correction to the record claims 1-11 have been canceled, and new claim 12 has been presented by the preliminary amendment filed January 24, 2004.
- 3. Claim 12 remains for examination.
- 4. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Specification

- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. in the specification section "Cross-Reference to Related Application" the status of the prior parent applications should be updated to reflect their current status.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

6. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Application/Control Number: 10/764,159 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

7. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,687,788. This is a double patenting rejection. Claim 12 is claiming the same invention because the language of claim 12 of the present application is exactly identical to the language of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,687,788.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Elmore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4180. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Elmore Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2186